PERIOD CHANGES IN CEPHEIDS BELONGING TO OPEN CLUSTERS AND ASSOCIATIONS

L.N. Berdnikov[1], E.V. Glushkova[1], D.G. Turner[2]

[1] Sternberg Astronomical Institute
13 Universitetskij prosp., Moscow 119992, Russia, *berdnik@sai.msu.ru, elena@sai.msu.ru*
[2] Deparatament of Astronomy and Physics, Saint Mary's University
Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3C3, Canada, *turner@ap.smu.ca*

ABSTRACT. Cepheids belonging to open clusters and associations exhibit identical characteristics to field Cepheids in terms of their period changes.

Key words: Stars: Cepheids; Open Clusters.

1. Introduction

The distances to open clusters are presently established with considerable precision through main-sequence fitting. Cepheids belonging to open clusters therefore have accurately established luminosities, making them ideal calibrators for the period-luminosity relation. Yet it is also important to establish that cluster Cepheids do not differ fundamentally from field Cepheids in their properties. A prominent and very important characteristic observed in Cepheids is the systematic manner in which they undergo changes in their periods of pulsation. Here we compare the behavior of such changes in 170 field Cepheids with those exhibited by the 40 cluster Cepheids listed by Turner and Burke (2002).

2. Method of Analysis and Observational Data

To study period changes in Cepheids we applied the generally used analytical technique of O–C diagrams in conjunction with our version of the well-known Hertzsprung method (Berdnikov, 1992). We calculated O–C data from published photoelectric, photographic, and visual observations collected in our Cepheid database, as well as from magnitudes for some stars estimated from patrol plates of their fields contained in both the Harvard College Observatory and Sternberg Astronomical Institute Photographic Plate Collections. Moreover, we used the photometric data obtained in frames of ASAS and ROTSE projects.

3. Results

We investigated the O–C diagrams for 40 cluster Cepheids (T Ant, U Car, VY Car, GH Car, GT Car, SU Cas, BD Cas, CF Cas, CG Cas, DL Cas, V Cen, δ Cep, TV CMa, R Cru, T Cru, X Cyg, SU Cyg, V1726 Cyg, ζ Gem, T Mon, CV Mon, S Nor, QZ Nor, TW Nor, V340 Nor, UY Per, RS Pup, AQ Pup, KQ Sco, RU Sct, EV Sct, V367 Sct, GY Sge, U Sgr, WZ Sgr, BB Sgr, SZ Tau, α UMi, RZ Vel, SW Vel, CS Vel, S Vul, and SV Vul), 30 of which exhibit systematic parabolic trends indicative of period increases (21 stars) and period decreases (9 stars). Figures 1-4 display results for VY Car, S Vul, CG Cas and CF Cas, where the O–C data are dominated by cubic or parabolic trends expected for stellar evolution.

In the cluster sample 10 Cepheids exhibit frequent, abrupt, period changes that result in cyclical waves in their O–C diagrams. For 9 of them the amplitude of such oscillations in the O–C residuals exceeds 10% of their period lengths.

In Table 1 we compare the results with the statistics obtained for 170 field Cepheids studied previously. The two groups are essentially identical in terms of the proportions of objects in each category of period change.

The complete paper is to be published in PASP.

Acknowledgements. The authors gratefully acknowledge partial support for this work by research funding awarded through the Russian Foundation of Basic Research and through the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

Berdnikov L.N.: 1992, *Sov. Astron. Lett.,* **18**, 207.
Turner D.G. and Burke J.F.: 2002, *Astron. J.,* **124**, 2931.

Table 1: Comparison of Period Changes in Cluster Cepheids and Field Cepheids

Group	No. of Stars	Increasing Periods	Decreasing Periods	No Systematic Trends	Abrupt Period Changes $\geq 0.1P$
Field Cepheids	170	86 (51%)	33 (19%)	51 (30%)	37 (22%)
Cluster Cepheids	40	21 (53%)	9 (23%)	10 (25%)	9 (23%)



Figure 1: O–C data, calculated evolutionary trend, and residuals from a cubic fit (below) for VY Car.



Figure 3: O–C data, calculated evolutionary trend, and residuals from a parabolic fit (below) for CG Cas.



Figure 2: O–C data, calculated evolutionary trend, and residuals from a parabolic fit (below) for S Vul.



Figure 4: O–C data, calculated evolutionary trend, and residuals from a parabolic fit (below) for CF Cas.